



## United States Patent and Trademark Office.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

09/650,362 08/29/2000 Julie J. Bennett 42390P9622 8226  7590 02/15/2005 EXAMINER  Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025  ART UNIT PAPER NU	PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP  Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard  WONG, LESLIE  ART UNIT  PAPER NU	09/650,362	08/29/2000	Julie J. Bennett	42390P9622	8226
Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard  ART UNIT PAPER NU	7590 02/15/2005			EXAMINER	
12400 Wilshire Boulevard  ART UNIT PAPER NU	Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP			WONG, LESLIE	
12400 Wilsinic Boulevalu	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ARTINIT	DADED NIIMDED
Los Aligeres, CA 90025					FAFER NUMBER
DATE MAILED: 02/15/2005	Los Angeles, C	A 90025			_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
09/650,362	BENNETT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🔯 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:  $\square$  The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_. 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) rejected: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. 🔯 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

> **Examiner Leslie Wong** Art Unit 2167

PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Yagasaki teaches the claimed limitation "... random assortment of product... without regard to a user profile" (col. 1, lines 29-41 and Figs. 7 and 10).

Applicant argues that Jacobi teaches away from the limitation "displaying a random assortment of products to the user... without regard to a user profile".

Examiner respectfully submits that based on the Office Action dated 22 November 2004, the Yagasaki reference was brought in to supplement the above mentioned missing feature that Jacobi does not explicitly teach. Therefore, Applicant arguments regarding Jacobi references teaches away from the above claimed limitation is irrelevant.

Further, applicant argues that Jacobi is not properly combinable with Yagasaki because Jacobi teaches away from applicant's claim limitations and that Jacobi in combination with Yagasaki would destroy Jacobi's intended purpose and function. Therefore, there is no motivation to combine Jacobi with Yagasaki.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Jacobi does not explicitly teach upon a user logging on to a virtual store having a visual browser via a computer network, displaying a random assortment of products to the user associated with the virtual store without regard to a user profile. Yagasaki, however, teaches 'upon a user logging on to a virtual store without regard to a user profile' as a mall server which provides a virtual shopping mall on a network. The mall server classifies online products into a plurality of categories to help consumers to search for the desired products. The products that fall under the selected category are then displayed on the screen of customer's terminal (col. 1, lines 5-10; col. 2, lines 60-65; col. 1, lines 29-40; Fig. 7).